

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,870	10/26/2001	Holger Warth	Mo-6717/LeA 34,668	1030	
157	7590 01/13/20	5	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC			BUTTNER, DAVID J		
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	<del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10	

## **Advisory Action**

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/027,870	WARTH ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Buttner	1712			

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

	ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ly filed Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🛭	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) L	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE 706.07(f).	the final rejection.
have bed 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejectation adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	fee. The appropriate extension fee under he final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the p 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)	) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b)	)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d)	) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
	NOTE:	
3.⊠	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): all 112 rejections.	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a scanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.🖾	The a) $\boxtimes$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\square$ request for reconsideration has been consapplication in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or by explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-9.	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by	the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10.	Other:	DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

David Bulli

C ntinuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 10/027,870

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It was previously known that glass fibers ar especially good at raising E-modulus of PC. US5056504 (col 1 line 26-29) can cited as evidence of this. The disclaration does not show an "unexpected" improvement.

Obayashi's PC oligomer is not excluded by "consisting of" because any PC (high or low MW) qualifies as applicant's A).